Larwill Lake Whitley County 2009 Fish Management Report

Rod A. Edgell, Assistant Fisheries Biologist



Fisheries Section
Indiana Department of Natural Resources
Division of Fish and Wildlife
I.G.C.-South, Room W273
402 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Larwill Lake is a 9-acre natural lake located southeast of Larwill in Whitley County, Indiana. Due to limited public access the lake has had no previous survey or management. An initial general fisheries survey was conducted in 2009 in order to document and assess the fishery.
- The general survey of Larwill Lake was conducted from June 15 to June 16, 2009. Temperature and oxygen profiles were collected at the deepest point using a Hydrolab Quanta®. Submersed aquatic plants were sampled on July 28, 2009 according to the Tier II Aquatic Vegetation Survey Protocol (IDNR 2007).
- On June 15 the water temperature was 72°F at the surface and a dissolved oxygen concentration greater than 3.0 ppm was present down to a depth of 10 feet. Submersed plants were recorded at a maximum depth of 7.5 feet, in July of 2008. Only two species, one native and one non-native were collected. Coontail was most common (frequency = 68%), followed by Eurasian watermilfoil (frequency = 5%).
- Fish collection effort consisted of 0.48 hours of pulsed D.C. night electrofishing with two dippers along the entire shoreline. Two trap nets and two experimental gill nets were set overnight.
- A total of 551 fish, weighing 253.74 pounds was collected during this survey. Bluegill was the most abundant species by number (33%), followed by gizzard shad (31%), and redear sunfish (17%). Gizzard shad was the most abundant species by weight (44%), followed by largemouth bass (35%), and carp (3%).
- A total of 182 bluegills, ranging in total length from 1.6 to 7.5, inches was collected. Bluegills of quality size (≥ 6 inches) comprised 1% of the entire sample.
- Ninety-five redear sunfish weighing 34.43 pounds were collected during the survey. Redear ranged in length from 4.6 to 9.5 inches with 80% of the catch measuring greater than or equal to 7 inches.
- Seventy-six largemouth bass were collected during the survey, ranging in length from 3.7 to 21.8 inches. Of the largemouth bass collected 62% were between 13.5 and 16 inches, and 58% were above the minimum size limit of 14 inches.
- Larwill Lake is currently providing good angling opportunities for several sport fish including redear sunfish and largemouth bass.
- The bluegill population at Larwill Lake is dominated by small, slow growing fish, which is typical of lakes with large gizzard shad populations. The small littoral zone and limited plant diversity may also be limiting sunfish abundance and growth, as the lake is very bowl shaped and shallow water habitat is scarce.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	1
RESULTS	1
DISCUSSION	2
RECOMMENDATIONS	3
LITERATURE CITED	3
APPENDIX	5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	F	Page
1.	Sampling gear locations at Larwill Lake in June 2009 (GN	
	= Gill Net, TN = Trap Net, and WC = Water Chemistry)	4

INTRODUCTION

Larwill Lake is a 9-acre natural lake located southeast of Larwill in Whitley County, Indiana. The lake is located in the Eel River watershed and has a maximum depth of 39 ft. The lake is primarily surrounded by agricultural fields and the entire shoreline is undeveloped. Although there is no state owned access, anglers can gain access through a county easement located on the east side of CR 700. Despite the limited amount of parking area anglers do use the site to launch boats and park cars. Due to limited public access the lake has had no previous survey or management. An initial general fisheries survey was conducted in 2009 in order to document and assess the fishery.

METHODS

The general survey of Larwill Lake was conducted from June 15 to June 16, 2009. Temperature and oxygen profiles were collected at the deepest point using a Hydrolab Quanta®. Submersed aquatic plants were sampled on July 28, 2009 according to the Tier II Aquatic Vegetation Survey Protocol (IDNR 2007). A GarminTM global positioning system device was used to record the location of the water quality data collection site, aquatic vegetation sample sites, and fish collection sites.

Fish collection effort consisted of 0.48 h of pulsed D.C. night electrofishing with two dippers along the entire shoreline. Two trap nets and two experimental gill nets were set overnight (Figure 1). Total length of all fish was measured to the nearest 0.1 in and weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 lbs. Five scales per half-inch group were collected from bluegill, largemouth bass, and redear sunfish for age determination and back-calculated lengths-at-age. Length frequency distributions for reporting purposes were grouped in half-inch increments which are defined as X.0 - X.4 and X.5 - X.9. Age length keys were also constructed to determine mean lengths-at-age. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) was calculated for bluegill and largemouth bass using electrofishing catch only (Anderson and Neumann 1996).

RESULTS

On June 15 the water temperature was 72°F at the surface and a dissolved oxygen concentration greater than 3.0 ppm was present down to a depth of 10 ft. Submersed plants were recorded at a maximum depth of 7.5 ft, in July of 2008. Only two species, one native and one

non-native were collected. Coontail was most common (frequency = 68%), followed by Eurasian watermilfoil (frequency = 5%).

A total of 551 fish, weighing 253.74 lbs was collected during this survey. Bluegill was the most abundant species collected by number (33%), followed by gizzard shad (31%), and redear sunfish (17%). Gizzard shad was the most abundant species collected by weight (44%), followed by largemouth bass (35%), and carp (3%).

A total of 182 bluegills, ranging in total length from 1.6 to 7.5 in was collected. The electrofishing and gill net catch rates were 144 fish/h and 56 fish/lift, respectively. The average electrofishing catch rate for Indiana natural lakes is 400 fish/h. The PSD for bluegill was 5. Bluegills of quality size (≥ 6 in) comprised 1% of the entire sample. Based on the age length key and back-calculated lengths-at-age the majority of bluegills reach 6 in between ages 4 and 5.

Gizzard shad were also collected in large numbers totaling 169 fish, weighing 126.18 lbs. The electrofishing, gill net, and trap net catch rates were 167 fish/h, 15 fish/lift, and 30 fish/lift, respectively. Ninety-seven percent of the gizzard shad collected were 11.0 in and larger.

Ninety-five redear sunfish weighing 34.43 lbs were collected during the survey. The electrofishing and trap net catch rates were 2 fish/h and 47 fish/lift. Redear ranged in length from 4.6 to 9.5 in with 80% of the catch measuring greater than or equal to 7 in. Based on the age length key and back-calculated lengths-at-age the majority of redear reach 7 in between ages 3 and 4.

Seventy-six largemouth bass were collected during the survey, ranging in length from 3.7 to 21.8 in. The electrofishing catch rate was 156 fish/h, slightly higher than the natural lakes average of 100 fish/h. The PSD for largemouth bass during this survey was 87. Of the largemouth bass collected 62% were between 13.5 and 16 in, and 58% were above the minimum size limit of 14 in. Based on the age length key and back calculated lengths at age the majority of largemouth bass reach 12 in between ages 4 - 5.

DISCUSSION

Larwill Lake is currently providing good angling opportunities for several sport fish primarily redear sunfish and largemouth bass. The redear population is dominated by harvestable size fish from the 2005 and 2006 year class. The abundance and growth of largemouth bass is above average, and the population is also dominated by large fish. Both

species are likely benefiting from low fishing pressure, while bass are taking advantage of a large prey base, which includes small bluegill and an abundance of gizzard shad.

While adult bass may be benefiting from gizzard shad, shad are likely negatively impacting other species such as bluegill by competing with them for food and space. The bluegill population at Larwill Lake is dominated by small, slow growing fish, which is typical of lakes with large gizzard shad populations. The small littoral zone and limited plant diversity may also be limiting sunfish abundance and growth, as their habitat is scarce.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Despite the lakes size the DFW should make an effort to obtain a public access site on Larwill Lake if an opportunity becomes available. Due to the lack of public access no additional management actions are recommended at this time.
- The DFW and Larwill Lake residents should continue efforts to protect and conserve the natural shoreline and fish habitat at Larwill Lake.

LITERATURE CITED

Anderson, R. O., and R. M. Neumann. 1996. Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 447-481 *in* B. R. Murphy and D. W. Willis, editors. Fisheries techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Indiana Department of Natural Resources. 2007. Tier II Aquatic Vegetation Survey Protocol. Indianapolis, Indiana.

Submitted by: Rod Edgell, Assistant Biologist

Date: 10/6/09

Approved by: Jed Pearson, Biologist

Date: 10/7/09

Approved by: Stu Shipman, Fisheries Supervisor

Date: 2/1/10



Figure 1. Sampling gear locations at Larwill Lake in June 2009 (GN = Gill Net, TN = Trap Net, and WC = Water Chemistry).

APPENDIX

Lake Pages

LAKE SUR	VEY REPORT		Type of Survey X Initial Survey Re-Survey						
I also Massa			Commen		In		And to the		
Lake Name Larwill Lake			County		D	ate of survey (f	Month, day, year)		
Biologist's name			Whitley		D	ate of survey (6/15/2009 Month, day, year)		
Rod Edgell						ate of survey (1	6/16/2009		
Rod Edgen							0/10/2007		
			LOCATIO	N					
Quadrangle Name			Range		S	ection			
	Lorane			31 N			3		
Township Name	T '11		Nearest Town			*11			
	Larwill				La	rwill			
			ACCESSIBII	ITY					
State owned public	access site		Privately owned		cess site	Other access	site		
	None					Cou	nty Easment CR 700		
Surface acres	Maximum depth	Average depth	Acre feet		Water level		Extreme fluctuations		
9	39	18.8	169						
Location of benchn	nark								
			INLETS						
Name		Location			Origin				
Unnamed Ditch	1	Northwest Short	reline		Agriculture Ru	ın-off			
		1							
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>				
			OUTLET	S					
Name		Location							
Kaler Branch		South Shoreline	e						
Water level control									
Unknown	POOL	ELEVATION	J (Foot MSI)		ACRES	ı	Dottom type		
		ELEVATION	(Feet MSL)		ACKES	┪	Bolder		
	OP OF DAM	+				-	\mapsto		
TOP OF FLO	OOD CONTROL POOL	<u> </u>				_	Gravel		
TOP OF CO	NSERVATION POOL						X Sand		
TOP OF	MINIMUM POOL						X Muck		
ST	REAMBED						X Clay		
			<u></u>				Marl		
Watershed use									
Agriculture									
Development of sho		T							
The entire shore	eline is undeveloped. N	linety-five percen	it of the lake is s	urround	ed by pasture a	nd agricultui	e fields, and the		
remaining area	is residential.								
Previous surveys ar	nd investigations								
None									

	SAMPLING EFFORT										
ELECTROFISHING	Day hours			Night Hours		Total Hours					
ELECTROPISHING				(0.48	0.48					
TRAP NETS	Number of Traps			Number of Lifts		Total Lifts					
TRAF NETS		2		1		2					
GILL NETS	Number of Nets			Number of Lifts		Total Lifts					
GILLNETS		2		1		2					
ROTENONE	Gallons	ppm	Ac	re-feet Treated SHORELINE		Number of 100 ft Seine Hauls					
ROTENONE					SEINING						

PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS									
Color	Turbio	lity (Sec	chi Disk)			Air Temperature	79.0	F	
		6	Feet	0	Inches	Water temperature	71.6	F	
,	Water Chemistri GPS Coordinates		N		41.1720574	W	-85.6223176		

	WATER QUALLITY PARAMETERS														
DEPTH (Feet)	Degrees (F)	D.O.	SpC	pН	TDS	D.O.%	Turb.	DEPTH	Degrees (F)	D.O.	SpC	рН	TDS	D.O.%	Turb.
SURFACE	71.6	8.4	0.397	8.5	0.3	86.3		52							
2	71.6	8.1	0.396	8.5	0.3	82.5		54							
4	71.4	8	0.397	8.4	0.3	81.6		56							
6	70.1	7.6	0.397	8.3	0.3	77		58							
8	69.2	6.6	0.397	8.2	0.3	65.8		60							
10	66.8	4.2	0.395	7.9	0.3	40.9		62							
12	63.9	1.1	0.388	7.7	0.3	0		64							
14	62.5	0	0.385	7.6	0.3	0		66							
16	59.8	0	0.383	7.6	0.3	0		68							
18								70							
20								72							
22								74							
24								76							
26								78							
28								80							
30								82							
32								84							
34	44.6	0	0.438	7.4	0.3	0		86							
36								88							
38								90							
40								92							
42								94							
44								96							
46								98							
48								100							
50															
						С	OMME	NTS							
C=(F-32)*0.5	555														

Occurrence and Abundance of Submersed Aquatic Plants - Overall

Lake: Larwill

Date: 7/28/2009

Littoral sites with plants: 14

Mean natives / site: 0.12

Mean natives / site: 0.70

SE Mean species / site: 0.70

SE Mean natives / site: 0.70

Native diversity: 0.12

Mean species / site: 0.75

Native diversity: 0.00

	Frequency of		Score F	requen	су	
Species	Occurrence	0	1	3	5	Dominance
Coontail	70.0	30.0	25.0	20.0	25.0	42.0
Eurasian watermilfoil	5.0	95.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Filamentous Algae	70.0					

Other species noted:

Occurrence and Abundance of Submersed Aquatic Plants - 0 to 5 ft.

Lake: Larwill Secchi(ft): 4.0 SE Mean species / site: 0.13
Date: 7/28/2009 Littoral sites with plants: 8 Mean natives / site: 0.70
Littoral Depth (ft): 7.5 Number of species: 2 SE Mean natives / site: 0.15
Littoral Sites: 10 Maximum species / site: 1 Species diversity: 0.22
Total Sites: 10 Mean species / site: 0.80 Native diversity: 0.00

	Frequency of		Score F	requen	су	
Species	Occurrence	0	1	3	5	Dominance
Coontail	70.0	30.0	30.0	20.0	20.0	38.0
Eurasian watermilfoil	10.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
Filamentous Algae	80.0					

Other species noted:

Occurrence and Abundance of Submersed Aquatic Plants - 5 to 10 ft.

Lake: Larwill Secchi(ft): 4.0 SE Mean species / site: 0.15

Date: 7/28/2009 Littoral sites with plants: 7 Mean natives / site: 0.70

Littoral Depth (ft): 7.5 Number of species: 1 SE Mean natives / site: 0.15

Littoral Sites: 9 Maximum species / site: 1 Species diversity: 0.00

Total Sites: 10 Mean species / site: 0.70 Native diversity: 0.00

	Frequency of		Score I	Frequen	су	
Species	Occurrence	0	1	3	5	Dominance
Coontail	70.0	30.0	20.0	20.0	30.0	46.0
Eurasian watermilfoil	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Filamentous Algae	60.0					

Other species noted:

SPECIES AND RELATIVE ABUNDA	NCE OF F	ISHES CO	LLECTED I	BY NUMBE	R AND WEI	GHT
*COMMON NAME OF FISH	NUMBER	PERCENT	LENGTH RA	NGE (inches) maximum	WEIGHT (pounds)	PERCENT
Bluegill	182	33.0	1.6	7.5	8.59	3.0
Gizzard shad	169	30.7	5.4	15.8	126.18	43.8
Redear sunfish	95	17.2	4.6	9.5	34.43	11.9
Largemouth bass	75	13.6	3.7	21.8	99.48	34.5
Black crappie	10	1.8	2.8	9.8	0.88	0.3
Yellow bullhead	6	1.1	8.1	12.3	3.65	1.3
Yellow perch	5	0.9	6	8.6	0.82	0.3
Warmouth	4	0.7	3.1	6.4	0.49	0.2
Brown bullhead	2	0.4	10	11.7	1.34	0.5
White sucker	1	0.2	16.5	16.5	1.83	0.6
Common carp	1	0.2	27.6	27.6	9.87	3.4
Hybrid sunfish	1	0.2	9.4	9.4	0.61	0.2
Total (11 species)	551	100.0		_	288.17	100.0

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}\text{Common}}$ names of fishes recognized by the American Fisheries Society.

Lake:	Larwill					TN	GN	EF
Date:	6/15/2009	to	6/16/2009		Total #	111	2	69
Species:	Bluegill				Effort	2	2	0.48
Total number:	182				CPUE	56	1	144
Total weight:	8.59							
Length range:	1.6	to	7.5					
Group	TL (in)	TN	GN	EF	TOTAL	RSD		
Stock	3	105	2	61	168	-	•	
Quality	6	2	1	3	6	5		
Preferred	8	0	0	0	0			
Memorable	10	0	0	0	0			
Trophy	12	0	0	0	0			

Length					Mean	Length					Mean
group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)	group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)
1.0						17.5					
1.5			1	1	0.00	18.0					
2.0	1		4	5	0.00	18.5					
2.5	5		3	8	0.01	19.0					
3.0	22		12	34	0.02	19.5					
3.5	27		26	53	0.03	20.0					
4.0	29	1	12	42	0.05	20.5					
4.5	13		5	18	0.07	21.0					
5.0	9		2	11	0.09	21.5					
5.5	3		1	4	0.12	22.0					
6.0						22.5					
6.5	1			1	0.23	23.0					
7.0	1	1	2	4	0.26	23.5					
7.5			1	1	0.33	24.0					
8.0						24.5					
8.5						25.0					
9.0						25.5					
9.5						26.0					
10.0						26.5					
10.5						27.0					
11.0						27.5					
11.5						28.0					
12.0						28.5					
12.5						29.0					
13.0						29.5					
13.5						30.0					
14.0						30.5					
14.5						31.0					
15.0						31.5					
15.5						32.0					
16.0						32.5					
16.5						33.0					
17.0						33.5					

Lake:	Larwill				TN	GN	EF
Date:	6/15/2009	to	6/16/2009	Total #	60	29	80
Species:	Gizzard shad			Effort	2	2	0.48
Total number:	169			CPUE	30	15	167
Total weight:	126.18						
Length range:	5.4	to	15.8				

Group	TL (in)	TN	GN	EF	TOTAL	RSD
Stock	7	60	25	79	164	-
Quality	11	60	25	79	164	100
Preferred						
Memorable						
Trophy						

Lengt	h				Mean	Length					Mean
group (GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)	group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)
1.0						17.5					
1.5						18.0					
2.0						18.5					
2.5						19.0					
3.0						19.5					
3.5						20.0					
4.0						20.5					
4.5						21.0					
5.0		1		1	0.07	21.5					
5.5		1	1	2 2	0.07	22.0					
6.0		2		2	0.09	22.5					
6.5						23.0					
7.0						23.5					
7.5						24.0					
8.0						24.5					
8.5						25.0					
9.0						25.5					
9.5						26.0					
10.0						26.5					
10.5						27.0					
11.0	1			1	0.43	27.5					
11.5						28.0					
12.0		1	4	5	0.58	28.5					
12.5	10		20	30	0.61	29.0					
13.0	16	7	28	51	0.71	29.5					
13.5	24	10	17	51	0.80	30.0					
14.0		5	4	13	0.92	30.5					
14.5		2	3	7	1.01	31.0					
15.0	3			3	1.22	31.5					
15.5			3	3	1.41	32.0					
16.0						32.5					
16.5						33.0					
17.0						33.5					

Lake:	Larwill				TN	GN	EF
Date:	6/15/2009	to	6/16/2009	Total #	94	0	1
Species:	Redear sunfi	sh		Effort	2	2	0.48
Total number:	95			CPUE	47	0	2
Total weight:	34.43						
I anoth range	4.6	to	0.5				

Group	TL (in)	TN	GN	EF	TOTAL	RSD
Stock	4	94	0	1	95	-
Quality	7	76	0	1	77	100
Preferred	9	6	0	0	6	
Memorable	11	0	0	0	0	
Trophy	13	0	0	0	0	

Length					Mean	Length					Mean
group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)	group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)
1.0						17.5					
1.5						18.0					
2.0						18.5					
2.5						19.0					
3.0						19.5					
3.5						20.0					
4.0						20.5					
4.5	3			3	0.07	21.0					
5.0						21.5					
5.5	1			1	0.16	22.0					
6.0	5			5	0.18	22.5					
6.5	9			9	0.24	23.0					
7.0	12			12	0.30	23.5					
7.5	14		1	15	0.35	24.0					
8.0	34			34	0.41	24.5					
8.5	10			10	0.48	25.0					
9.0	5			5	0.56	25.5					
9.5	1			1	0.55	26.0					
10.0						26.5					
10.5						27.0					
11.0						27.5					
11.5						28.0					
12.0						28.5					
12.5						29.0					
13.0						29.5					
13.5						30.0					
14.0						30.5					
14.5						31.0					
15.0						31.5					
15.5						32.0					
16.0						32.5					
16.5						33.0					
17.0						33.5					

Lake:	Larwill				TN	GN	EF
Date:	6/15/2009	to	6/16/2009	Total #	0	1	75
Species:	Largemouth	bass		Effort	2	2	0.48
Total number:	76			CPUE	0	1	156
Total weight:	100.7						

Length range: 3.7 to 21.8

Group	TL (in)	TN	GN	EF	TOTAL	RSD
Stock	8	0	1	67	68	-
Quality	12	0	1	58	59	87
Preferred	15	0	1	20	21	30
Memorable	20	0	0	1	1	1
Trophy	25	0	0	0	0	

Length					Mean	Length					Mean
group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)	group (in)	TN	GN	EF	Total	weight (lbs)
1.0						17.5			1	1	2.79
1.5						18.0					
2.0						18.5			1	1	3.81
2.5						19.0					
3.0						19.5					
3.5			1	1	0.02	20.0					
4.0			1	1	0.03	20.5					
4.5						21.0					
5.0			2	2	0.06	21.5			1	1	5.99
5.5			2 2	2 2	0.08	22.0					
6.0			2	2	0.11	22.5					
6.5						23.0					
7.0						23.5					
7.5						24.0					
8.0						24.5					
8.5						25.0					
9.0						25.5					
9.5						26.0					
10.0			2	2	0.47	26.5					
10.5			2	2	0.53	27.0					
11.0			1	1	0.67	27.5					
11.5			4	4	0.71	28.0					
12.0			8	8	0.80	28.5					
12.5			1	1	0.90	29.0					
13.0						29.5					
13.5			6	6	1.28	30.0					
14.0			10	10	1.37	30.5					
14.5			13	13	1.58	31.0					
15.0			12	12	1.77	31.5					
15.5		1	3	4	1.91	32.0					
16.0			2	2	2.02	32.5					
16.5						33.0					
17.0						33.5					

Back-calculated lengths-at-age for bluegill captured at Larwill Lake in June 2009.

				Age	;		
Year Class	# Aged	1	2	3	4	5	6
2008	9	1.8					
	SD	0.3					
2007	16	1.7	3.0				
	SD	0.3	0.4				
2006	9	1.9	3.0	4.1			
	SD	0.4	0.3	0.4			
2005	4	1.8	2.8	4.1	5.1		
	SD	0.4	0.3	0.9	1.1		
2004	3	1.9	3.4	4.7	6.0	6.7	
	SD	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.4	
2003	1	1.5	2.6	3.9	5.4	6.6	7.3
	SD						
Mean*		1.8	3.0	4.3	5.6	6.7	
SD		0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.4	
NL Mean		1.7	3.1	4.7	6.1	6.9	7.4

^{*}Does not include age groups with less than three samples.

Age-length key for bluegill captured at Larwill Lake in June 2009.

Length	# in	# (age) in			A	ge		
Group	sample	subsample	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.0								
1.5	1	1(1)	1					
2.0	5	3(1)	5					
2.5	8	5(1)	8					
3.0	34	5(1)	34					
3.5	53	5(2)		53				
4.0	42	5(2)		42				
4.5	18	1(2), 4(3)		4	14			
5.0	11	3(3), 2(4)			7	4		
5.5	4	2(3), 1(4)			3	1		
6.0								
6.5	1	1(5)					1	
7.0	4	1(4), 2(5)				1	3	
7.5	1	1(6)						1
Mean TL			3.0	4.0	5.0	5.7	7.1	7.8
SE			0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	

Back-calculated lengths-at-age for redear sunfish captured at Larwill Lake in June 2009.

		Age							
Year Class	# Aged	1	2	3	4	5	6		
2008	0								
	SD								
2007	4	2.0	4.1						
	SD	0.1	0.3						
2006	19	1.8	4.2	6.6					
	SD	0.3	0.8	0.8					
2005	11	2.0	4.6	7.0	8.0				
	SD	0.2	1.0	1.0	0.6				
2004	2	1.9	4.9	7.5	8.9	9.3			
	SD	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0			
2003	4	2.1	4.6	6.3	7.7	8.7	9.1		
	SD	0.7	1.3	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.2		
Mean*		2.0	4.4	6.6	7.8	8.7	9.1		
SD		0.3	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.2		

^{*}Does not include age groups with less than three samples.

Age-length key for redear sunfish captured at Larwill Lake in June 2009.

Length	# in	# (age) in _	Age							
Group	sample	subsample	1	2	3	4	5	6		
1.0										
1.5										
2.0										
2.5										
3.0										
3.5										
4.0										
4.5	3	3(2)		3						
5.0										
5.5	1	1(2)		1						
6.0	5	5(3)			5					
6.5	9	5(3)			9					
7.0	12	4(3), 1(4)			10	2				
7.5	15	2(3), 3(4)			6	9				
8.0	34	3(3), 2(4)			20	14				
8.5	10	5(4)				10				
9.0	5	1(5), 4(6)					1	4		
9.5	1	1(5)					1			
Mean TL				5.0	7.5	8.2	9.5	9.3		
SE				0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0		

Back-calculated lengths-at-age for largemouth bass captured at Larwill Lake in June 2009.

						Age			
Year Class	# Aged	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2008	8	4.5							
	SD	1.0							
2007	3	4.8	9.4						
	SD	1.1	0.9						
2006	9	3.6	8.7	11.5					
	SD	0.6	0.6	0.5					
2005	9	4.2	7.7	10.2	12.8				
	SD	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.8				
2004	11	4.2	8.5	11.3	13.1	14.3			
	SD	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.6			
2003	7	3.9	7.6	10.4	12.1	13.9	15.2		
	SD	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	0.9	1.1		
2002	1	3.0	9.6	10.7	14.4	15.5	16.9	18.3	
	SD								
2001	1	2.9	8.2	11.3	13.1	15.8	17.1	19.3	21.0
	SD								
Mean*		4.2	8.4	10.8	12.7	14.1	15.2		
SD		0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.8	1.1		
NL Mean		3.5	6.9	9.5	11.6	13.4	14.7		

Length	# in	# (age) in					ge			
Group	sample	subsample	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.0										
1.5										
2.0										
2.5										
3.0										
3.5	1	1(1)	1							
4.0	1	1(1)	1							
4.5										
5.0	2	2(1)	2							
5.5	2	2(1)	2							
6.0	2	2(1)	2							
6.5										
7.0										
7.5										
8.0										
8.5										
9.0										
9.5										
10.0	2	1(2(2						
10.5	2	1(1), 1(2)		1	1					
11.0	1	1(2)		•	1					
11.5	4	1(2), 2(3), 1(4)		1	2	1				
12.0	8	4(3), 1(4)		•	6	2				
12.5	1	1(3)			1	2				
13.0	1	1(3)			1					
13.5	6	2(4), 2(5), 1(6)				2	2	1		
							2	1		
14.0	10	4(4)				10	0	2		
14.5	13	1(4), 3(5), 1(6)				3	8	2		
15.0	12	5(5)					12	2		
15.5	4	1(5), 3(6)					1	3		
16.0	2	1(6)						2		
16.5										
17.0		470								
17.5	1	1(6)						1		
18.0										
18.5	1	1(7)							1	
19.0										
19.5										
20.0										
20.5										
21.0										
21.5	1	1(8)								1
Mean TL			5.3	10.8	12.0	13.9	14.9	15.5	18.8	21.8
SE			0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4		

Sampling gear locations at Larwill Lake in in June 2009.

		<u> </u>						
			Gill Nets					
1	N	41.1717784	W	-85.6219908				
2	N	41.1732496	W	-85.6229993				
Trap Nets								
1	N	41.1734876	W	-85.6227097				
2	N	41.1739688	W	-85.6243795				